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We examine the construction of a symmetric positive definite conductance matrix for eddy-current problems, using a discrete approach. We construct a new set of piecewise uniform basis vector functions on both the primal and the dual complex. We define these vector functions for both tetrahedra and prisms.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In discrete approaches [1]–[4] for eddy-current problems, the conductance matrix can be constructed geometrically according to different techniques proposed in [5] or in [9], but it is nonsymmetric. This fact leads to nonsymmetric stiffness matrices when solving the eddy-current problems. Moreover, these techniques hold only for the case of tetrahedral as primal volumes.

The motivation of this paper is to show a general method, extending [6] and [7], to construct consistent, symmetric positive-definite constitutive matrices for eddy-current problems, based on a new set of piecewise uniform basis vector functions defined both on the primal complex and on the dual complex. These vector basis functions will be introduced for tetrahedra and prisms with triangular base. In particular, we will introduce vector basis functions on the dual complex and for prisms where Whitney vector functions are not defined.

A numerical example will be used to compare the results obtained using different conductance matrices, constructed on both the primal and the dual cell complex based on tetrahedra as primal volumes.

II. DISCRETE APPROACH FOR EDDY CURRENTS

In this section, we will briefly recall the basic ideas of a discrete approach to solve eddy-current problems. The domain of interest \( D \) of the eddy-current problem can be partitioned into a source region \( D_s \), consisting of a current driven coil, a passive conductive region \( D_c \), and an insulating region \( D_a \) which is the complement of \( D_s \) and \( D_c \) in \( D \). We introduce in \( D \) a pair of interlocked cell complexes \([8],[1],[2]\). The primal complex consists of inner oriented cells such as nodes \( n \), edges \( e \), faces \( f \), and volumes \( v \). We will consider as primal volumes \( v \) both tetrahedra and prisms with triangular base.\textsuperscript{1}

The dual complex is obtained from the primal according to the barycentric subdivision, with outer oriented cells such as dual volumes \( \hat{n} \), dual faces \( \hat{e} \), dual edges \( \hat{f} \), and dual nodes \( \hat{\vartheta} \). For example, a dual node \( \hat{\vartheta} \) is the barycenter of the volume \( v \).

The interconnections between cells of the primal complex, are defined by the usual connectivity matrices \( G \) between pairs \( (e,n) \), \( C \) between pairs \( (f,e) \), and \( D \) between pairs \( (v,f) \). Similarly, the corresponding matrices for the dual complex are \(-G^T\) (the minus sign is due to the assumption that a dual volume \( \hat{n} \) is oriented by the outward normal, while a node \( n \) is oriented as a sink) between pairs \( (\hat{n},\hat{e}), C^T \) between pairs \( (\hat{e},\hat{f}) \), and \( D^T \) between pairs \( (\hat{f},\hat{v}) \). With respect to these cell complexes, we recall the \( A - \chi \) formulation [9], [10]. We search for the array \( A \) of the circulations \( A \) of the magnetic vector potential along primal edges \( e \) of \( D \) and for the array \( \chi \) of scalar potential \( \chi \) associated with primal nodes \( n \) of \( D_c \) such that

\[
(C^T \nu C A)_e = (I)_e \quad \forall e \in D - D_c
\]

\[
(C^T \nu C A)_e + i\omega (\sigma A)_e + i\omega (\sigma G \chi)_e = 0 \quad \forall e \in D_c
\]

\[
i\omega (G^T \sigma A)_n + i\omega (G^T \sigma G \chi)_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in D_c
\]

(1)

where array \( A_c \) is the sub-array of \( A \), associated with primal edges in \( D_c \); the matrix \( G \) is associated with pairs \( (e,n) \) of \( D_c \). With \( \chi_n \), we mean the \( j \)th row of array \( \chi \), where \( k = \{ e,n \} \) is the label of edge \( e \) or of node \( n \). The array of currents crossing the dual faces is denoted by \( I \); if \( e \in D_a \) then \( (I)_e = 0 \). Finally, \( \nu (\dim (\nu) = N_f, N_f \) being the number of faces in \( D ) \) is the reluctance constitutive matrix and \( \sigma \) is the conductance matrix \( (\dim (\sigma) = N_{ec}, N_{ec} \) being the number of edges in \( D_c \)).

The system (1) is singular and to solve it we rely on CG method without gauge condition [12]. In system (1) the last set of equations may be eliminated, \( \chi \) being arbitrary, and the so-called \( A \)-formulation [14] may be obtained as a particular case; however, the convergence of \( A \)-formulation depends strongly on the choice of the preconditioner as shown in [15], [16]. In the paper, we used a SSOR preconditioner and solving the singular system (1) provides a reduction of the effective condition number [14].

\textsuperscript{1}The lateral edges of the prism are not necessarily orthogonal to the base of the prism.

\textsuperscript{2}This notation underlines the duality between a \( p \)-cell and its dual \((3 - p)\)-cell.
In the case of tetrahedra, the techniques described in [5] or [13] based on Whitney vector functions can be used to construct constitutive matrices. However, these techniques lead to non-symmetric matrices and cannot be used in the case of prisms.

In this paper, we will reformulate in a general way the approach described in [7] in order to treat tetrahedra or prisms in the same way.

III. APPROACH FOR BUILDING CONSTITUTIVE MATRICES

In this section, we provide a recipe to construct constitutive matrices. To this aim, we will need different sets of vector basis functions that will be defined in the next section. Here, we will give the specifications they have to comply with. We will construct the constitutive matrix at element level and then the global matrix will be assembled by adding the contributions from the single elements. Let \( v_k \) be one primal volume, either a tetrahedron or a prism and \( r_i \) be one of the following geometric entities: \( e_i, f_i, \hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_i \) of \( v_k \); we observe that \( e_i, \hat{e}_i \) are pieces of the \( i \)th dual face or dual edge respectively, tailored in \( v_k \). A generic vector function attached to \( r_i \) is denoted by \( v^r_i \).

We denote by \( x \) a vector field and by \( X \) its integral \( X = \int_{v_k} x \cdot d\hat{r} \) on the geometric entity \( r_i \); similarly \( Y_i \) denotes the integral \( Y_i = \int_{v_k} y \cdot d\hat{r} \) of vector field \( y \) with respect to the geometric entity \( R_i \) dual\(^3\) to \( r_i \). These are the so-called degrees of freedom (DoF). For example, if \( x \) is the electric displacement \( D \), then \( X \) is the flux of \( D \) crossing dual face \( \hat{e}_i \). Similarly if \( y \) is the electric field \( E \), then \( Y_i \) indicates the electromotive force (EMF) along primal edge \( e_i \).

Using vector basis function \( v^r_i \) associated with geometric entity \( r_i \), we may express field \( x \) as

\[
x = \sum_{i \in R} v^r_i X_i
\]

where the subscript \( i \) spans the set of labels \( R \) of the geometric entities \( r_i \) in \( v_k \). For example, if \( r_i \) is a generic primal edge, then \( R \) is the set of the labels of the six primal edges of \( v_k \).

Moreover, the elements of the set \( \{ v^r_i \} \) have to comply at least with the following specifications:

i) they form a basis, so that \( \int_{r_i} v^r_i \cdot d\hat{r} = \delta_{ij} \);

ii) they can represent a uniform field exactly.

Now, we consider in \( v_k \) the following functional:

\[
F = \frac{1}{2} \int_{v_k} x' \cdot y \, dv
\]

where \( x', y \) are a pair of vector fields in \( v_k \) whose integrals \( X'_i \) and \( Y_i \) are associated respectively with the geometric entities \( r_i, \hat{r}_i \) one dual of the other; the prime is used to stress that \( x', y \) are independent fields, not necessarily related by a constitutive relation. Only the pair of fields \( x, y \) is related by a constitutive relation of the kind \( y = m_x (x \) (or its inverse), \( m \) being the material property.

3For example, the dual to edge \( e_i \) is the dual face \( \hat{e}_i \) and the dual to dual edge \( \hat{f}_i \) is the primal face \( f_i \)

We assume that the field \( x' \) in (3) is given as in (2), \( x' = \sum_{i \in R} v^r_i X'_i \), the set \( \{ X'_i \} \) being arbitrary. Then (3) becomes

\[
F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in R} X'_i \int_{v_k} v^r_i \cdot y \, dv,
\]

At this stage, we may design basis functions such that the following equality

\[
\int_{v_k} v^r_i \cdot y \, dv = Y_i
\]

holds, and in this case the functional (3) can be written as

\[
F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i X'_i Y_i
\]

where the \( X'_i, Y_i \) belong to independent sets of DoF.

We try to satisfy (5) exactly at least for an element-wise uniform field \( y \); it will hold only approximately for a general field. Then (5) yields

\[
y \cdot \int_{v_k} v^r_i \, dv = Y_i
\]

where the equality sign holds if the following property

iii) \( \int_{v_k} v^r_i \, dv = \delta_i \)

is satisfied; we denote by \( \delta_i \) the vector associated with the geometric entity \( \hat{r}_i \). It should be noted that this property reformulates in a purely geometric way, for a uniform material property \( m \), the consistency condition firstly presented in [17] and recalled in [18] and [19].

The next step is to write \( y = m_x = m \sum_{i \in R} v^r_i X_j \), where we used (2) for \( x \). Substituting it in (4) and comparing with (6) we obtain

\[
Y_i = \sum_{i \in R} \int_{v_k} v^r_j \cdot m v^r_j \, dv \cdot X_j
\]

the set of \( X'_i \) being arbitrary and independent of the set of \( X_j \). We observe that (8) is exact when the field \( y \) is element-wise uniform and property iii) holds. The numbers \( M_{ij} = \int_{v_k} v^r_i \cdot m v^r_j \, dv \) are the entries of a constitutive matrix \( M \) that is by construction symmetric and positive-definite, relating the DoF arrays \( Y \) and \( X \) in the primal volume \( v_k \).

IV. VECTOR BASIS FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will construct the vector basis functions \( v^r \) attached to the geometric entity \( r_i \) of volume \( v_k \). Then, the vector functions are respectively \( v^r_i, v^r_j \), attached to primal edge \( e_i \), \( v^r_i \), attached to dual face \( \hat{e}_i \), \( v^r_i \), attached to primal face \( f_i \) and \( v^r_i \), attached to dual edge \( \hat{f}_i \).

We introduce a generic subregion, say \( \tau \), of volume the \( v_k \) (either a tetrahedron or a prism), resulting from the intersec-
For prisms and similarly, its length is the area of the face containing

Then \(V_i^p(\tau_a)\) is the volume of the tetrahedron and \(V_i^p(\tau_b)\) is the incidence number between the primal edge \(e_i\) and \(n_a\) or \(n_b\), respectively.

with the orientations shown.

in a one-to-one correspondence with the primal node \(n_a\). As a general rule, the support of \(v_i^p\) is \(S_i = \tau_{\alpha} \cup \tau_{\beta}\), where \(\tau_{\alpha}\) belongs to the set of subregions having \(\tau_i\) in common.

A. Primal Edge Vector Functions

The support of \(v_i^p\) is \(S_i = \tau_{\alpha} \cup \tau_{\beta}\) and \(n_a, n_b\) are the boundary nodes of the primal edge \(e_i\), Figs. 1, 2.

We denote by \(f_{\alpha}\) the faces containing \(n_a\) and \(n_b\), respectively and not containing \(e_i\) and we introduce the area vectors \(f_{\alpha}^4, f_b\). Then \(v_i^p\) is defined as

\[
v_i^p(p) = \begin{cases} 
    G_i^{\alpha} D_{ka} f_{\alpha} & \text{if } p \in \tau_{\alpha} \\
    G_i^{\beta} D_{kb} f_b & \text{if } p \in \tau_{\beta}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(G_i^{\alpha}\) is the incidence number between \(e_i\) and \(n_{\alpha}\), \(D_{ka}\) is the incidence number between \(v_k\) and \(f_{\alpha}\). \(V\) is the volume of \(v_k\); in the case of tetrahedra \(l_1 = 3\), Fig. 1. For prisms \(l_1 = 2\)

Area vector \(f_{\alpha}\) is normal to face \(f_{\alpha}\), its length is the area of \(f_{\alpha}\) and it points in a way congruent with the screw rule with respect to the inner orientation of the face.

Fig. 1. Representation of the support of \(v_i^p\) and \(v_i^\ast\). With the orientations shown, for edge vector function, we have \(v_i^p(\tau_a) = -1 -1/3V\) and \(v_i^p(\tau_b) = +1 +1/3V\). For dual face vector function, we have \(v_i^\ast(\tau_a) = -1 -1(12/V)\) and similarly \(v_i^\ast(\tau_b) = +1 +1(12/V)\).

Fig. 2. Representation of the support of \(v_i^p\) and \(v_i^\ast\). With the orientations shown, for edge vector function, we have \(v_i^p(\tau_a) = -1 -1/3V\) and \(v_i^p(\tau_b) = +1 +1/3V\). For dual face vector function, we have \(v_i^\ast(\tau_a) = -1 -1(12/V)\) and similarly \(v_i^\ast(\tau_b) = +1 +1(12/V)\).

Fig. 3. Representation of the generic subregion \(\tau_{\alpha}\) one-to-one with node \(n_{\alpha}\) for a tetrahedron and a prism.
if $e_i$ belongs to a base, otherwise $l_1 = 1$ holds, Fig. 2. In other words, $v^e_i$ is a uniform vector in each subregion; for example in $\tau_a$ it is proportional to the area vector associated with the primal face opposite to edge $e_i$, see Fig. 3.

It can be easily verified that Properties i) and ii) hold. Property iii) (see Figs. 1 and 2) follows from:

$$\int_{l_2} v^e_i dv = (l_2/l_0 V) (V/l_2) + (l_2/l_0 V) (V/l_0),$$

where $l_2 = 4$ for tetrahedra and $l_2 = 6$ for prisms, and from the geometric identity $G_{i_0} D_{i_0} l_{i_0} + G_{i_1} D_{i_1} l_{i_1} = l_1 l_2 e_i$ where $\hat{e}_i$ is the area vector of the portion of the dual face $\hat{e}_i$ tailored in $v^e_i$.

### B. Dual Face Vector Functions

The support of $v^e_i$ associated with a portion of dual face $\hat{e}_i$ in $v^e_i$ is $S_{\hat{e}_i} = \tau_a \cup \tau_b$, where subregions $\tau_a$ and $\tau_b$ have $\hat{e}_i$ as common face, Figs. 1, 2. Then $v^e_i$ is defined as

$$v^e_i(p) = \begin{cases} G_{l_0} D_{l_0} l_{l_0} \hat{e}_i, & \text{if } p \in \tau_a \\ G_{l_1} D_{l_1} l_{l_1} \hat{e}_i, & \text{if } p \in \tau_b \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{e}_i$, $\hat{b}_i$ are the edge vectors associated respectively with the portions of dual edges $f_i, f_k$ in $v^e_i$. For any dual face of a tetrahedron and dual faces like $\hat{e}_i$ in a prism (see Fig. 2) $l_2 = 12$ holds, while for a dual face like $\hat{e}_i \cap \hat{e}_j$, we have $l_1 = 6$.

In other words, $v^e_i$ is a uniform vector in each subregion; for example in $\tau_a$ it is proportional to the edge vector associated with the dual edge opposite to the portion of dual face $\hat{e}_i$, see Fig. 3.

Obviously, property i), i.e., $\int_{l_2} v^e_i \cdot ds = e_{ij}$ holds. For example, both for a tetrahedron and a prism the integral $\int_{l_2} v^e_i \cdot ds = 1$, because $\hat{e}_i \cdot \hat{e}_j = \hat{b}_i \cdot \hat{b}_j = V/12$. In a prism, for dual faces like $\hat{e}_i$, we have that $\hat{e}_i \cdot \hat{e}_j = \hat{b}_i \cdot \hat{e}_j = V/6$, where $\hat{e}_i, \hat{b}_i$ represent now the edge vectors associated with the portions of dual edges one-to-one with the top and bottom faces of the prism, respectively. Also property ii) holds.

Now, we will show that vector function $v^e_i$ complies with property iii). We focus on $v^e_i$ associated with the face $\hat{e}_i$ in a tetrahedron or in a prism, and we observe that $\int_{l_2} v^e_i \cdot dv = (l_2/l_0 V) (V/l_2) + (l_2/l_1 V) (V/l_1)$.

Let us consider, for simplicity, the tetrahedron with orientations shown in Fig. 1. Then, from elementary geometry, we have that $12\hat{b}_i = e_i + e_0 + e_1 + e_2$, $12\hat{b}_i = e_i + e_0 + e_1 + e_2$, $12\hat{b}_i = e_i + e_0 + e_1 + e_2$. Moreover, for faces $f_i$ and $f_k$ having edge $e_j$ in common, and the edge vectors of their bounding edges, we have $e_0 - e_0 = e_j$ and $e_0 - e_0 = e_j$. Thus, we obtain $12\hat{b}_i = e_i + e_0 + e_1 + e_2$, $12\hat{b}_i = e_i + e_0 + e_1 + e_2$. A similar result holds for vector function associated with dual faces like $\hat{b}_i$ or $\hat{b}_i$, in a prism, see Fig. 2.

### C. Primale Vector Functions

The support of $v^f_i$ is the domain $S_{f_i} = \bigcup \tau_s$, where the boundary of volume $\tau_s$ and face $f_i$ have a non-null intersection. For example, in a tetrahedron and in a prism the support of $v^f_i$ for a face having nodes $(n_\alpha, n_\beta, n_\gamma)$ (see Figs. 1 and 2) is $\tau_a \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$; on the other hand, for a face of a prism like $(n_\alpha, n_\beta, n_\gamma, n_\delta)$, the support is $\tau_a \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \cup \tau_3$.

Next, we denote by $\tau_s$ a generic subregion in the support $S_{f_i}$. We also denote by $e_i$, the primal edge vector associated with edge $e_i$ drawn from the node $n_s$, and not belonging to the boundary of $f_i$. For example, considering the face having nodes $(n_\alpha, n_\beta, n_\gamma)$ in the tetrahedron or in the prism of Figs. 1 and 2, the edge is $e_i$ drawn from node $n_\alpha$.

Now, we define $v^f_i$ attached to $f_i$, as

$$v^f_i(p) = D_{k_i} G_{r_s} \frac{1}{V} e_i, \quad \text{if } p \in \tau_s \subset S_{f_i}$$

where $l = 3$ for a tetrahedron; in a prism, for a face like the one having nodes $(n_\alpha, n_\beta, n_\gamma, n_\delta), l = 2$ while for a face like the one having nodes $(n_\alpha, n_\beta, n_\gamma, n_\delta), l = 1$. The incidence numbers $D_{k_i}, G_{rs}$, specify the incidence between the pairs $(v_k, f_i), (e_r, n_s)$, respectively.

With a reasoning similar to the one presented in the previous sections, it is easy to show that properties i), ii), and iii) hold for $v^f_i$.

### D. Dual Edge Vector Functions

The support of a dual edge vector function $v^f_i$ is $S_{\hat{f}_i} = \bigcup \tau_s$, where $\tau_s$ has $\hat{f}_i$ as common edge. For example, in a tetrahedron the support for a dual edge like $\hat{f}_i$ (see Fig. 1) is $\tau_a \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$; on the other hand (see Fig. 2), for a dual edge of a prism like $\hat{f}_i$, the support is $\tau_a \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_0 \cup \tau_2 \cup \tau_0$.

Next, we consider a generic subregion $\tau_s$ in the support $S_{\hat{f}_i}$. We denote by $\hat{e}_i$, the dual face having only the barycentric $\hat{y}_i$ of $v^e_i$ in common with the dual edge $\hat{f}_i$; $\hat{e}_i$ is the corresponding dual face vector associated with $\hat{e}_i$. For example, considering the dual edge $\hat{f}_i$ and subregion $\tau_s$ in the tetrahedron or in the prism of Figs. 1 and 2, the dual face is $\hat{e}_m$.

Now, we define $v^f_i$ in $\tau_s$, as

$$v^f_i(p) = D_{k_i} G_{r_s} \frac{1}{V} \hat{e}_s, \quad \text{if } p \in \tau_s \subset S_{\hat{f}_i}$$

where $l = 12$ for a tetrahedron and a prism, for a dual edge like $\hat{f}_i$; in a prism for a dual edge like $\hat{f}_i, l = 6$, and the incidence numbers $D_{k_i}, G_{rs}$, refer to the pairs $(v_k, f_i), (e_r, n_s)$, respectively.

Again, with a reasoning similar to the one presented in the previous sections, it is easy to show that properties i), ii), and iii) hold for $v^f_i$.

### V. Constitutive Matrices

We will write the reluctance and conductance constitutive matrices explicitly by assigning to the general expressions $X_{ij}, Y_{ij}, m, R$ and $v^f_i$ in (8) the variables of the specific case. We denote by $E$ the number of edges of $v_k$ and with $F$ the number of its faces; $E = 6, F = 4$ for a tetrahedron while $E = 9, F = 5$ for a prism.

#### A. Magnetic Matrix Using $v^f_i$

The reluctance matrix $\nu$ for tetrahedron $v_k$ relates the inductive fluxes $\Phi_j = X_j$ associated with $f_j$ with the magnetomotive forces (m.m.f.s) $F_i = Y_i$ associated with $f_j$, $\dim(\nu) = F$.
Then, the entries are \( \mathbf{v}_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_k} \mathbf{v}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{v}_j^T d\Omega \), where \( \nu = m \) is the reluctivity of \( \Omega_k \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) is the set of labels of the \( \mathcal{F} \) primal faces of \( \Omega_k \).

**B. Magnetic Matrix Using \( \nabla_i^T \)**

As a first step, we construct matrix \( \mathbf{\mu} \) for tetrahedron \( \Omega_k \) relating \( F_i = X_j \) with \( \Phi_i = Y_i \), \( \dim(\mathbf{\mu}) = \mathcal{F} \). Its entries are \( \mathbf{\mu}_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_k} \mathbf{v}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{\nu}_j^T d\Omega \), where \( \mu = m \) is the permeability of \( \Omega_k \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) is the set of labels of the \( \mathcal{F} \) primal faces of \( \Omega_k \). The second step is to invert it and we obtain \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{\mu}^{-1} \), where the reluctance matrix \( \mathbf{v} \) for tetrahedron \( \Omega_k \), relates the induction fluxes \( \Phi_j = X_j \) associated with \( f_j \) with m.f.s \( F_i = Y_i \) associated with \( \tilde{f}_j \).

**C. Conductance Matrix Using \( \nabla_i^T \)**

Conductance matrix \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) for tetrahedron \( \Omega_k \) relates the EMFs \( U_j = X_j \) associated with \( e_j \) with currents \( I_i = Y_i \) associated with \( \tilde{e}_j \), \( \dim(\mathbf{\sigma}) = \mathcal{E} \). Then, the entries are \( \mathbf{\sigma}_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_k} \mathbf{v}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}_j^T d\Omega \), where \( \sigma = m \) is the conductivity of \( \Omega_k \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) is the set of labels of the \( \mathcal{E} \) primal edges of \( \Omega_k \).

**D. Conductance Matrix Using \( \nabla_i^T \)**

Similarly, we construct matrix \( \mathbf{\rho} \) for tetrahedron \( \Omega_k \) relating \( I_j = X_j \) with \( U_i = Y_i \), \( \dim(\mathbf{\rho}) = \mathcal{E} \) whose entries are \( \mathbf{\rho}_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_k} \mathbf{v}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{\rho}_j^T d\Omega \), where \( \rho = m \) is the resistivity of \( \Omega_k \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) is the set of labels of the \( \mathcal{E} \) primal edges of \( \Omega_k \). Then we invert it, obtaining \( \mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{\rho}^{-1} \), where the conductance matrix \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) for tetrahedron \( \Omega_k \), relates the EMFs \( U_j = X_j \) associated with \( e_j \) with the currents \( I_i = Y_i \) associated with \( \tilde{f}_j \).

**VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISONS**

As numerical test, we consider a geometry consisting of a circular coil placed above an aluminum plate. The domain of interest \( D \) of the eddy-current problem (a cylinder of diameter of 60 mm and height 44.5 mm), has been partitioned into a source region \( D_s \) (a circular current driven coil of 18 mm of outer diameter, 12 mm of inner diameter, and 10 mm height) placed above a conducting region \( D_c \) consisting of an aluminum plate 4 mm thick and with a radius of 30 mm. The insulating region \( D_i \) is the complement of \( D_s \) and \( D_c \) in \( D \). In \( D_s \), we force a sinusoidal current source \( I_s = \sin(\omega t) \) with a frequency of \( f = 5 \) kHz.

We assemble the system (1) using the conductance constitutive matrices \( \mathbf{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathbf{\sigma}} \) for tetrahedra, respectively. For comparison, we also used the symmetric and nonsymmetric conductance constitutive matrices computed according to the methods described in previous papers [11] and [9], respectively; these methods make use of the Whitney edge vector functions [5], [13] and therefore, they are limited to the primal complex. We solve the final system with a QMR solver for complex symmetric and nonsymmetric matrices according to the case; in both the cases, we use a SSOR preconditioner.

To study convergence, we start from a couple of meshes named Mesh 1 (10510 tetrahedra) and Mesh 2 (40643 tetrahedra). Then, we use the uniform refinement technique to produce finer meshes. This technique for anisotropic meshes provides more regular results. Therefore, by uniform refinement of Mesh 1 we obtain Mesh 3 (84080 tetrahedra) and Mesh 5 (672640 tetrahedra); similarly from the Mesh 2 (40643 tetrahedra) we obtain Mesh 4 (325144 tetrahedra).

Figs. 4 and 5 show the convergence rate of the magnetic induction and the current density with different constitutive matrices. We calculate the error in energy norm defined as

\[
\epsilon_B = \sqrt{\frac{\int_D |B - B_{\text{REF}}|^2 d\Omega}{\int_D |B_{\text{REF}}|^2 d\Omega}}
\]

where \( B_{\text{REF}} \) is the reference induction field computed by means of a 2-D axisymmetric finite-element accurate solution. As quality factor for the mesh, we choose the mean length of the edges. For comparison using Mesh 5, the CPU time (on a Pentium IV 2 GHz) needed to solve iteratively the linear system with a stop criterion on the residual 2-norm less than \( 10^{-6} \).
is of 69 min and 9 min, respectively, for nonsymmetric and symmetric conductance matrices obtained from Whitney edge vector functions, 7 min and 9 min for $\sigma$ and $\hat{\sigma}$, respectively.

VII. Conclusion

We proposed an approach for both tetrahedra and prisms with triangular base which allows to construct symmetric positive-definite constitutive matrices. The approach relies on a set of piecewise uniform vector basis functions defined in a fully geometric way on both the primal and the dual complex, where Whitney vector functions do not exist. This peculiarity makes the implementation straightforward and efficient. A numerical example evidences the convergence rate of the different approximated solutions and the significant saving of time when the proposed symmetric, positive-definite constitutive matrices are used instead of the nonsymmetric matrices.
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